## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS PINE BLUFF DIVISION

## ZERUNDRICK GUY

**PLAINTIFF** 

 $\mathbf{v}$ .

No. 5:18-cv-194-DPM

TONIA STAFFORD, Police Officer, Dumas Police Department; GREG FREEMAN, Police Officer, Dumas, Police Department; STEPHANIE ESAW, Jailor, Dumas Police Department; CARL McCREEI; ESLIE LEE WARREN; and DOE, Channel 5 Evening News

**DEFENDANTS** 

## ORDER

- 1. The Court must screen Guy's complaint, as amended. N ≥  $\mathcal{E}$  N ≥ 12; 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. Guy claims he was unlawfully arrested and detained and that he's innocent of the crime for which he's now serving a sentence. N ≥ 12. He also says that Elsie Lee Warren attacked him and that Doe reported false information about him. *Ibid*. He seeks damages and release from prison. *Ibid*.
- **2.** Guy brought his lawsuit under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. His claims against Elsie Lee Warren and Doe must therefore be dismissed because there's no indication that either acted under the color of law. *Hamilton v. Schriro*, 74 F.3d 1545, 1549 (8th Cir. 1996).

3. Guy's claims against Stafford, Freeman, Esaw, and McCree are Heck-barred and must be dismissed without prejudice for failing to state a claim at this point. Heck v. Humphrey, 512 U.S. 477, 486–87 (1994). A judgment in Guy's favor would necessarily call into question his state-court conviction; and Guy hasn't alleged that his conviction has been reversed, expunged, or invalidated. Ibid. To the extent Guy seeks to challenge his conviction and get out of prison, he can't do so in this § 1983 action. He must file a habeas petition. Preiser v. Rodriguez, 411 U.S. 475, 500 (1973).

**4.** Guy's complaint will be dismissed without prejudice for failing to state a clam. This dismissal counts as a "strike" for purposes of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). An *in forma pauperis* appeal from this Order and accompanying Judgment will not be taken in good faith. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3).

So Ordered.

D.P. Marshall Jr.

United States District Judge

27 September 2018